does not, however, supersede the splendid biography of S. E. Finer, which, even after so many years, retains its freshness and vitality. For Chadwick and sanitary reform, Finer and Lewis remain essential.

Michael Durey, Murdoch University


There are several useful historical accounts of homoeopathy in America, but very little has been published on the British story. Nicholls’s book will thus become a stock item for courses on medicine in nineteenth- and twentieth-century Britain.

After discussing the relationship between the magical simile and the principle of homoeopathy, Nicholls introduces Hahnemann. He follows Harris L. Coulter for an excursion on rationalist and empiricist tendencies in history of medicine, stressing the gender of physicians as a partial explanation of the overall dominance of rationalism. He then approaches his main theme by outlining the professional structures and the beliefs of orthodox medicine in early nineteenth-century Britain. The rationale for heroic therapy is illustrated from texts and journals. This is more than useful “background”, for historians of British medicine have been remarkably silent about its practices.

It was the well-connected physician F. H. F. Quin (1799–1878) who introduced Hahnemann’s system to Britain. During the 1840s it proved popular from the aristocracy downward; societies, publications, hospitals, and dispensaries appeared; an Edinburgh professor, Henderson, was converted; the regulars took fright. From 1851, the PMSA organized to ostracize homoeopaths, as did local medico-ethical societies. But this was not the only response. Nicholls demonstrates that in Britain, as in America, leading regular physicians turned from heroic therapy, pursued milder treatments and even took up homoeopathic materia medica. He argues that by the 1870s, there was a “therapeutic convergence”—regulars had (covertly) learned from the homoeopaths to rely on nature, to test drugs, to use small doses; leading homoeopaths were sceptical of those Hahnemannian principles (e.g. potentiation by dilution) which seemed irrational.

By the end of the century there was more to praise in orthodoxy and less to complain about, unless that is, one became truly sectarian and rejected the metaphysics of most practitioners. Indeed, in the generally depressed history of homoeopathy this century, one major strand has been “Kentianism”, an American reinterpretation of Hahnemann based on Swedenborgian philosophy. Homoeopaths closer to regular medicine, embarrassed by the fundamentalists, continued to seek credit through clinical trials and para-orthodox science.

The last section of the book reviews the attempt of British homoeopaths to avoid exclusion from state services. They survived 1911 quite well; in 1948 the London Homoeopathic Hospital was included in the NHS under its own hospital management committee, but it was not recognized as a postgraduate teaching hospital. Since 1974, the hospital has been under pressure from its health authority. It survives because of connections and the growing appeal of homoeopathy. If, as expected, NHS reforms favour consumer preferences over professional interests, homoeopathy could well continue on its recent upward curve.

The history of homoeopathy deserves further investigation, as is clear from essays in Roger Cooter’s recent *Studies in the history of alternative medicine* (1988). Nicholls has concentrated on the professional leaders and their publications. We need to know more about “populist” homoeopathy and especially about the practice of “sixpenny doctors”. But Nicholls’s book is an excellent beginning; it is also generous with information and insight on regular medicine in both Britain and America.

John Pickstone, Wellcome Unit, Manchester
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But more general readers may give the book a pass. The 2,272 utterances of authorities simply do not add up to a thoughtful account placing doctors and their patients in relationship to each other or in the context of their times. It is the kind of book a punch-card sorter might have produced: so that if seven authorities had something to say about subject X, there will be seven cards in the X slot, and seven literature citations in the text. So the text itself is a riot of numbers, one set for the authorities, a second set in brackets for the end notes.Â For Chadwick and sanitary reform, Finer and Lewis remain essential. Michael Durey, Murdoch University. PHILLIP A. NICOLLs, Homoeopathy and the medical profession, Beckenham, Croom Helm, 1988, 8vo, pp. 298, Â£27.50. Lewis and Clark had similar backgrounds but different personalities.Â They sent back descriptions, zoological specimens, and even a few live animals. One of the animals sent to President Jefferson in 1805 was a “barking squirrel,” or â€œblack-tailed prairie dog.â€ Lewis and Clark were hailed as heroes in America. In March 1806, the expedition began its journey back East. It was during this final leg of the expedition that the one violent skirmish “occurred. The Corps of Discovery returned to St. Louis on September 23, 1806. Lewis and Clark headed to Washington, D.C., to tell President J In Which, the Many Notorious Falsehoods and Misrepresentations of a Book Called “Pamela” Are Exposed and Refuted; and All the Matchless Arts of That Young Politician, Set in a True and Just Light [as Conny Keyber] (satire) 1741; also published as Shamela in Joseph Andrews and Shamela, 1961. The History of the Adventures of Joseph Andrews, and of his Friend Mr. Abraham Adams.Â And, all the while, she retains her deep gratitude to Allworthy and recognizes in his goodness something that “savored more of the divine than human nature” (18, 8). Fielding discriminates carefully between moral laxity and moral obliviousness or, as Coleridge puts in, between what a man does and what he is. Which was fine with Ed. Not so with Manchin. Ed went through the Portland State website and found his man. Undergraduate degree in American lit from the University of Oregon; masterâ€™s from UCLA; adjunct gig now for three years. His photo revealed a rather plain-looking young man of perhaps thirty-five, the makings of a beard that was probably temporary, and narrow frameless eyeglasses. In his letter, Professor Manchin asked whoever responded to do so by e-mail, and gave a private Gmail address. He said he rarely checked his university address. Ed thought, â€œThatâ€™s because youâ€™re just a lowly ad
Many independently owned restaurants took advantage of the PPP lending, administered by the Treasury Department and the SBA. This funding helped keep restaurants alive during the pandemic. Now that PPP is ending, business owners are able to apply for loan forgiveness. Essentially, the money will wind up being a grant from the government. However, it still may not be enough to save restaurants in places like New York City, which still has not fully reopened its economy. Up to 85% of independently owned restaurants could close due to the coronavirus pandemic, according to a new report from the I 'Not too tall and not too short.' He was a nice-looking boy, with his mother's regular features and dark hair, but he had inherited his father's blue eyes, and though he was rather pale his skin was smooth and clear. Samuel Sunbury had got him into the office of the accountants who came twice a year to do the accounts of his own firm and by the time he was twenty-one he was able to bring back to his mother every week quite a nice little sum. She gave him back three half-crowns for his lunches and ten shillings for pocket money, and the rest she put in the Savings Bank for h I had not considered that my excessive intimacy must have its danger of ill consequence in many ways; and that I had no right to be trying whether I could attach myself to either of the girls, at the risk of raising even an unpleasant report, were there no other ill effects. I had been grossly wrong, and must abide the consequences."Â Captain Wentworth learns a very harsh lesson at Lyme (so does Louisa, for that matter). After Louisaâ€™s accident, he gradually realizes that 1. he is still in love with Anne, but that 2. he is unable to do anything about it because everyone assumes he is now engaged to Louisa. Up to that point, Wentworth has been indulging himself a little too much. You canâ€™t blame him for enjoying the matrimonial attentions of the young ladies after so many hard years at sea and in war. What does Mariam assume when Jalil does not arrive on time, and what does she do instead of waiting for him? she assumes that he had been caught up in business she lays down and paces back and forth she eventually goes into town to find him. Describe what happens when Jalil's driver tries to get Mariam to get into the car?Â Which of the rules is most surprising to you and why? example: women are now not able to walk out in public without be escorted by men. I think it's awful how strict the laws are regarding women and their rights. Describe some ways in which the Taliban soldiers destroy Kabul. destroyed all art/literature destroyed all pre-Islamic statues. Rasheed like the Taliban's strict laws regarding women. 8. After his accident last year, he would never consider buying another motorcycle. 9. Margaret just happened to find the lost car keys while she was looking for something else. 10. He never admitted having the wild party while his parents were out of town. Related documents.Â © 2013-2021 studylib.net all other trademarks and copyrights are the property of their respective owners. DMCA Terms Privacy. Make a suggestion.