



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Soil & Tillage Research xxx (2007) xxx-xxx

**Soil &
Tillage
Research**

www.elsevier.com/locate/still

Editorial

Constraints to adopting no-till farming in developing countries

No-till (NT) farming, practiced in combination with crop residues mulch and growing a cover crop in the rotation cycle, is widely recognized by soil scientists and agronomists as a viable alternative to plow tillage for making agriculture a part of the solution to improving the environments and sustaining use of natural resources. The literature is replete with manuscripts documenting numerous economic, ecologic, environmental, and social benefits of NT farming. Important among these are erosion control, water conservation, nutrient cycling, saving in time, reduction in use of fossil fuel, less wear and tear of machinery, stable and sustainable crop yields, and soil carbon sequestration along with an additional income stream for farmers through trading of carbon (C) credits. Such beneficial impacts of conversion from plow tillage to NT have been documented since 1960s in the U.S. Corn Belt, and 1970s in West Africa, South America, and Australia. Yet, in 2006 NT was practiced on less than 100 million hectares (Mha) worldwide, or on merely 6% of the global cropland area. Furthermore, most of the NT farming is presently done in USA, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Paraguay, Australia, and other developed countries. These regions are characterized by large scale mechanized monocropping of corn, soybeans, wheat, and other row crops.

The adoption of NT farming is practically negligible by resource-poor small land holders of sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), South and Southeast Asia, Central America, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Islands. These are also the regions where the potential benefits of NT farming are probably the highest. Therefore, the lack of adoption of NT farming, especially by farmers cultivating erosion-prone soils in harsh tropical climates, necessitates a thorough and an objective analyses of biophysical, economic, social, and cultural constraints which have been barriers to using this promising innovation of the 20th century.

There are definitely some biophysical constraints which indicate that NT is not a panacea, and does not always produce equivalent crop yields in climates with cold springs, sub-optimal soil temperatures, and poorly drained and heavy-textured soils. In such ecosystems, plowing under of crop residues leads to quick soil warming, rapid drainage and soil drying, early seedling establishment and increase in crop yields. Ecological conditions in the lowland tropics are in sharp contrast to those in temperate and Boreal regions, where springs are dry and warm, temperatures are supra-optimal, and soils are prone to drought stress even 5 to 10 days after a heavy rain. In such edaphological conditions, use of NT farming with crop residue mulch would alleviate predominant biophysical constraints due to specific ecologic, climatic, and pedologic factors. Thus, there must be other constraints which limit the adoption of NT farming in developing countries of the tropics and sub-tropics.

Resource-poor and small-size land holders of SSA and South Asia do not have access to herbicides needed for an effective weed control. Paraquat, roundup, atrazine and other chemicals are either prohibitively expensive or are not available. There is also a conspicuous non-availability of appropriate seeding equipment. The conventional seed drill used on a plowed land cannot be used in an NT field with heavy mulch of rice straw, sorghum stocks or corn stover. Even a 2-row NT seeder cannot be pulled by a small 30-HP tractor normally available to farming communities in SSA and South Asia.

Lack of or even complete absence of crop residues mulch and other biomass on the soil surface is perhaps the most important constraint limiting the adoption of NT farming in developing countries. Benefits of NT farming (e.g., erosion control, water conservation, soil fertility enhancement, C sequestration) are directly attributed to the amount of crop residue mulch and application of dung/manure as soil amendments. How-

ever, biomass (e.g., crop residues, animal dung) is also the most important fuel source for cooking and heating in the rural communities in developing countries. For example, in 2005, India used 250 million metric ton (Mt) of fuel wood, 62 Mt of dried cattle dung and 36 Mt of crop residues as cooking fuel (Venkaraman et al., 2005). In comparison, the amount of fuel wood, cattle dung and crop residue used as residential fuel was 800–930 Mt, 130–200 Mt and 430–545 Mt, respectively in Asia; and 1324–1615 Mt, 150–410 Mt and 442–707 Mt in the world (Venkaraman et al., 2005). About 2.5 billion people, mostly in South Asia and SSA, rely on biomass for cooking and heating, which account for more than 80% of their residential energy needs (World Energy Outlook, 2002). The aerosols emitted by combustion of biomass in rural kitchens, often under unventilated conditions, have a strong impact on regional climate and human health. Ramanathan et al. (2001) reported that aerosols released by biomass combustion enhance scattering and absorption of solar radiation, and produce brighter clouds that are less efficient at causing precipitation. Such aerosol effects weaken the hydrologic cycle and have adversely impacted monsoons in the Indian sub-continent. Bailis et al. (2005) reported that household indoor pollution caused by combustion of dung and crop residues will cause an estimated 9.8 million pre-mature deaths by 2030 in SSA.

A large fraction of crop residues (especially that of wheat, sorghum and millet) is also used as fodder for livestock. Furthermore, whatever stubbles remain in the field after clean harvest are heavily grazed. In the process, soil is denuded of any protective vegetation cover against erosivity of wind and water and dessication effect of tropical Sun, deprived of plant nutrients contained in plant residues and animal dung, and subjected to the compactive effects of animal trampling leading to crustation and massive structure. It is estimated that dung used as fuel in India would be worth \$800 million per year if it were used as fertilizer (World Energy Outlook, 2002).

Under these conditions, loosening of soil by any tillage (manual by a hoe, animal drawn traditional ard or tractor driven moldboard plow or sub-soiler) improves porosity and structural characteristics of a compacted soil, albeit temporarily. In addition, plowing also enhances mineralization of whatever little soil organic matter still remains in the soil. The enhanced mineralization releases essential nutrients (N, P, K), which also improves plant growth especially in traditional agriculture where chemical fertilizers are rarely or minimally used.

The scientific community is convinced of the long-term benefits of using crop residues and animal dung as amendments for improving soil quality, increasing use efficiency of fertilizers and other off-farm input, enhancing and sustaining crop yields and restoring degraded/desertified ecosystems. It is also known that even traditional farmers, who neither have access to the published literature nor to extension services, are fully aware of the benefits of using crop residues and animal wastes as soil amendments. Yet, the economic realities, helplessness, and desperate needs for using biomass either as cooking fuels or as fodder for feeding the cattle, prevent them from availing the benefits of using them as soil amendments. It is this desperate situation which leads farmers to perpetually use the extractive farming practices for short-term gains rather than investing in long-term stewardship of soil and water resources.

These resource-poor farmers are also aware of the severe problems of soil and environmental degradation caused by their actions of using extractive farming practices. In biophysical terms, they know that fragile soils in harsh climates are highly vulnerable to degradative processes. In socioeconomic terms, they also know that they pass on their sufferings to the land when they are themselves hungry and poverty stricken. As Barry Commoner (1972) stated “all things are interconnected”, and then “there is no such thing as free lunch”. Farmers pay a heavy price for use of crop residues and animal dung as fuel in terms of soil and environmental degradation. As a Quaker saying goes “if you beat the nature, nature will beat you”; yet, these desperate farmers often do not have a choice, because it is a matter of their survival.

Therefore, a strategy needs to be developed to provide clean cooking fuel to rural households in developing countries. Such a strategy would involve establishment of biofuel plantations and identification of other clean sources of residential fuel, incorporation of forages (clover, grasses) in the rotation cycle, using controlled grazing, and application of chemical fertilizers in conjunction with strategies of integrated nutrient management. This is where the path of Soil & Tillage Research crosses with those addressing issues related to economic, social and the human dimensions for promoting sustainable agricultural practices and advancing food security in developing countries.

Adoption of NT farming is also indicative of the economic and industrial development of a society. It is a natural step forward when other components of economic and social development are in place. As Theodore Roosevelt (1908) once said “The men with the muck-rakes are often indispensable to the well-being

184 of society, but only if they know when to stop raking the
186 muck". Roosevelt's views were also supported by
187 Francis Bacon in the 17th century who stated that
188 "money is like muck, not good except it be spread"
189 (Holmes, 2006). Thus, crop residue and animal dung
190 must be spread on the soil to reap the monetary rewards
191 through adoption of NT farming in the developing
192 countries. In the meanwhile, resource-poor farmers will
193 have to continue practicing plow tillage while fully
194 realizing that it is not a sustainable practice.

References

- 195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
- Bailis, R., Ezzati, M., Kammen, D.M., 2005. Mortality and green-
house gas impacts of biomass and petroleum energy futures in
Africa. *Science* 308, 98-103.
- Commoner, B., 1972. *The Closing Circle: Nature, Man and Technol-
ogy*. Alfred A. Knopf, New York, p. 326.
- Holmes, C., 2006. *The Not So Little Book of Dung*. Sutton Publishing,
UK, p. 202.

- Ramanathan, V., Crutzen, P.J., Kiehl, J.T., Rosenfeld, D., 2001.
Aerosols, climate and the hydrologic cycle. *Science* 294, 2119-
2124.
- Venkaraman, C., Habib, G., Eiguren-Fernandez, A., Miguel, A.H.,
Friedlander, S.K., 2005. Residential biofuels in South Asia:
carbonaceous aerosol emissions and climate impacts. *Science*
307, 1454-1456.
- World Energy Outlook, 2002. International Energy Agency. *Energy
and Poverty*, Chapter 13, pp. 1-49 ([http://www.iea.org/textbase/
weo/pubs/weo2002/energypoverty.pdf](http://www.iea.org/textbase/weo/pubs/weo2002/energypoverty.pdf)).

R. Lal*

*Department of Soil Science,
Carbon Management and Sequestration Center,
The Ohio State University, Columbus,
OH 43210, United States*

*Tel.: +1 614 292 9069; fax: +1 614 292 7432

202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223

203

No-till farming is certainly not a panacea. However, with globalization and increased competition, cutting production costs will be essential, especially if/where/when subsidies will be removed. No-till systems have been adopted by a wide range of farmers for the last two decades on some 60 million ha worldwide on all farm sizes and under varied cropping systems (Derpsch, 2001), ranging from the Pampas of Argentina and the sub-tropics and tropics of Brazil and Paraguay to the prairies of Canada, the rice-wheat zone of India. The fourth section deals with what needs to be done in a country to facilitate the change toward SLM and NT systems.

Constraints to adopting no-till farming in developing countries. *Soil and Tillage Research* 94:1-3. CrossRef Google Scholar. 141DeFelice, M.S., Carter, P.R., and Mitchell, S. 2006. Crop yields under no-till farming in China: A meta-analysis. *European Journal of Agronomy*, Vol. 84, Issue. , p. 67. CrossRef. No-till farming (also known as zero tillage or direct drilling) is an agricultural technique for growing crops or pasture without disturbing the soil through tillage. No-till farming decreases the amount of soil erosion tillage causes in certain soils, especially in sandy and dry soils on sloping terrain. Other possible benefits include an increase in the amount of water that infiltrates into the soil, soil retention of organic matter, and nutrient cycling. These methods may increase the amount and 5 No-till (NT) farming, practiced in combination with 6 crop residues mulch and growing a cover crop in the 7 rotation cycle, is widely recognized by soil scientists 8 and agronomists as a viable alternative to plow tillage 9 for making agriculture a part of the solution to 10 improving the environments and sustaining use of 11 natural resources. Please cite this article in press as: Lal R., Constraints to adopting no-till farming in developing countries, *Soil Tillage Res.* (2007), doi:10.1016/j.still.2007.02.002. + Models. The article focuses on smallholder farmers in developing nations, with particular reference to Nepal. The challenges of terrace agriculture in these regions include lack of quality land area for agriculture, erosion and loss of soil fertility, low yield, poor access to agricultural inputs and services, lack of mechanization, labor shortages, poverty, and illiteracy. Terrace farming or terracing is a major source of livelihoods for a large section of hillside farmers across the world. In Zimbabwe, no till tied-ridging and ripping into maize residues greatly reduced surface runoff and increased the infiltration rate, resulting in higher grain, and biomass yields due to increased root depth and root length density (Vogel et al., 1994).