Acceptance and commitment therapy as guided self-help for psychological distress and positive mental health: a randomized controlled trial
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Background. In order to reduce the high prevalence of depression, early interventions for people at risk of depression are warranted. This study evaluated the effectiveness of an early guided self-help programme based on acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT) for reducing depressive symptomatology.

Method. Participants with mild to moderate depressive symptomatology were recruited from the general population and randomized to the self-help programme with extensive email support (n=125), the self-help programme with minimal email support (n=125) or to a waiting list control group (n=126). Participants completed measures before and after the intervention to assess depression, anxiety, fatigue, experiential avoidance, positive mental health and mindfulness. Participants in the experimental conditions also completed these measures at a 3-month follow-up.

Results. In the experimental conditions significant reductions in depression, anxiety, fatigue, experiential avoidance and improvements in positive mental health and mindfulness were found, compared with the waiting list condition (effect sizes Cohen’s d=0.51–1.00). These effects were sustained at the 3-month follow-up. There were no significant differences between the experimental conditions on the outcome measures.

Conclusions. The ACT-based self-help programme with minimal email support is effective for people with mild to moderate depressive symptomatology.
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Introduction

In order to reduce the high prevalence of depression, early interventions for people at risk are warranted (Andrews et al. 2004; Cuijpers et al. 2008). Although such interventions are available and effective in reducing depressive symptoms and preventing the onset of depression, only a small proportion of people participate. Possible ways to increase the participation rate is to reduce the stigma associated with mental illnesses and to focus the intervention on depression as well as on other mental illnesses (Cuijpers et al. 2010). Early interventions should meet the following criteria: implementation within a positive framework to avoid the stigma associated with depression; targeting generic risk factors for multiple mental illnesses; and promoting positive mental health as well as reducing psychological distress, because a sound mental health prevents the onset of clinical disorders (Keyes et al. 2010; Wood & Joseph, 2010). In this study an early intervention, based on acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT) and meeting these criteria, was offered to adults with mild to moderate depressive symptomatology as a guided self-help programme consisting of a manual ‘Living to the full’ (a positive frame) and email support by a counsellor. The intervention targets both experiential avoidance (EA) that can be considered a generic risk factor for mental illnesses (Biglan et al. 2008) and positive mental health. A group format of this intervention has shown promising effects (Fledderus et al. 2010; Bohlmeijer et al. 2011a). In this study two different levels of email support by a counsellor were explored (minimal and extensive) to assess optimal treatment intensity. We hypothesized that both ACT interventions would show superior effects in reducing depressive symptoms and other mental distress (anxiety, fatigue) and in increasing positive mental health when compared with a waiting list. Furthermore, we expected...
positive effects in the process measures EA and mindfulness.

**Method**

**Participants**

In September 2009, participants were recruited through advertisements in Dutch newspapers. Inclusion criteria were an age of 18 years or older and mild to moderate depressive symptoms \( \geq 10 \) and \(< 39\) on the Center of Epidemiological Studies – depression scale (CES-D; Radloff, 1977) and anxiety symptoms \( \geq 3 \) and \(< 15\) on the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale – anxiety subscale (HADS-A; Zigmond & Snaith, 1983). People with severe depressive symptomatology and/or anxiety (more than 1 standard deviation above the population mean on the CES-D (cut-off score \( \geq 39\); Bouma et al. 1995) and/or HADS-A (cut-off score \( \geq 15\); Olsson et al. 2005) were excluded, because severe distress would require more intensive individual diagnostics and treatment. For the remaining participants it was checked who were still responding positively to a screener for a depressive disorder [Web Screening Questionnaire (WSQ; Donker et al. 2009) Q1 \( \geq 6\) and Q2 = 1. As the WSQ yields a high number of false positives (Donker et al. 2009), those who were screened as having a depressive disorder underwent a telephone interview that employed the depressive episode module of the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI; Sheehan et al. 1998). People whom the MINI diagnosed as having a severe depressive episode were excluded.

Other exclusion criteria were: (a) few depressive symptoms \( \leq 10\) on the CES-D and/or anxiety \( \leq 3\) on the HADS-A; (b) receiving psychological or psychopharmacological treatment within the last 3 months; and (c) high suicide risk (Q15 = 3 on the WSQ).

**Procedure**

A total of 625 people responded to the advertisements and received an information sheet explaining the study and an informed consent form. This was signed by 507 people who then received a screening questionnaire comprising the CES-D, HADS-A and WSQ. First, 54 respondents were excluded because they had severe depression and/or anxiety according to their scores on CES-D and HADS-A. They were advised to contact their general practitioner. Second, 44 respondents were diagnosed by the WSQ as having a depressive disorder and subsequently underwent a telephone interview using the MINI. These interviews were conducted by Masters students of psychology who were being trained and supervised by a clinical psychologist (K.M.G.S.). Of the 43 respondents (one respondent could not be contacted), two were diagnosed with a severe depressive episode and were excluded and advised to contact their general practitioner. A further 75 respondents were excluded because they had few depression and/or anxiety symptoms \( n = 58\), did not complete the screening questionnaire \( n = 15\), could not be contacted for the interview \( n = 1\) or currently received psychological treatment \( n = 1\). Hence a total of 376 participants were randomly assigned to the following three conditions: the ACT intervention with minimal email support (ACT-M; \( n = 125\), the same intervention with extensive email support (ACT-E; \( n = 125\) or to a waiting list (W-L; \( n = 126\)). A block randomization was carried out for the three groups with stratification on gender and age \( (< 50\) and \( \geq 50\) years), using computer-generated random sequences of numbers.

Table 1 shows an overview of the participants’ characteristics. Their mean age was 42 years (range 18–73 years). The majority was female (70%) and of Dutch origin (93%). Most of the participants had a high level of education (86%), a paid job (76%) and were not married (47%).

**Power analysis**

A sample size of 100 participants per condition at post-intervention was needed to detect an effect size of 0.40 (Cohen’s \( d\)) for the primary outcome with a statistical power of \( 1 – \beta = 0.80\) in a two-tailed test \( p < 0.05\). Taking into account a drop-out rate of 20%, we needed to recruit 375 people.

**Measures**

All participants completed measures on two occasions: at baseline (T0) and at post-intervention at 9 weeks (T1; directly after the intervention). Those assigned to the experimental conditions completed a third measure at the 3-month follow-up (T2; 5 months after baseline). The W-L group received the intervention after the waiting list period of 9 weeks. All questionnaires were administered online. The primary outcome measure was the level of depressive symptomatology as measured with the CES-D (20 items, score 0–60). Higher scores mean more depressive symptoms (Radloff, 1997; Haringsma et al. 2004).

Secondary outcome measures were anxiety, fatigue and a positive mental health. Anxiety was measured with the HADS-A (seven items, score 0–21) for assessing the presence and severity of anxious symptoms. Higher scores mean more anxiety symptoms (Spinhoven et al. 1997; Zigmond & Snaith, 2003). The subjective fatigue subscale of the Checklist Individual Strength was used to assess the severity of fatigue (eight items, score 8–56). A high score indicates severe
Fatigue (Vercoulen et al., 1999). Positive mental health was assessed with the Mental Health Continuum short form that measures emotional well-being (three items), social well-being (five items) and psychological well-being (six items). Participants were asked to rate how often they had experienced feelings of well-being in the past month on a scale ranging from 1 (never) to 6 (daily). A mean score across the individual items was computed for each component of well-being. Higher scores indicate greater emotional, social and psychological well-being (Keyes, 2005; Lamers et al., 2010).

Process measures included assessments of EA and mindfulness. The Acceptance and Action Questionnaire-II was used to measure the ability to accept aversive internal experiences and to pursue values in the presence of these experiences (10 items, score 10–70). Higher scores indicated lower levels of EA (Jacobs et al., 2008; Bond et al., 2011). The Five Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire (FFMQ) was used to measure five facets of mindfulness: observing (eight items), describing (eight items), acting with awareness (eight items), non-judging (eight items) and non-reactivity (seven items) (all scores range from 8–40, except non-reactivity facet range from 7 to 35). Higher scores indicate more mindfulness (Baer et al., 2006; Bohlmeijer et al., 2011b). All outcome measures showed good psychometric properties.

To evaluate the satisfaction of the participants after the intervention, the Client Satisfaction Questionnaire (CSQ-8) was used which consists of eight items on a scale from 1 (very negative) to 4 (very positive). A mean score across individual items was computed (Attkisson & Zwick, 1982; De Brey, 1983). Also a question was included on how the participants evaluated the programme on a scale from 1 to 10.

Counsellors
The email support was provided by five psychology Masters students of the University of Twente. They received a 2-day workshop from a clinical psychologist with ample experience in ACT. The student counsellors studied the self-help manual and performed all the exercises included in the manual. In the workshop they learned the processes of ACT and practised writing emails in the role of both client and counsellor. Each student provided 25 participants with minimal email support and 25 participants with extensive email support during a period of 9 weeks. The emails were supervised by a clinical psychologist. On average the counsellor spent 9 min on the extensive email support and 3 min on the minimal email support.

Intervention and email support
Participants of the experimental conditions received the self-help book ‘Living to the full’ (Bohlmeijer &

| Table 1. Characteristics of participants |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Characteristic           | ACT-E (n = 125)          | ACT-M (n = 125)          | W-L (n = 126)          |
| Gender, n                | Female 87                | 87                       | 88                      |
|                         | Male 38                  | 38                       | 38                      |
| Mean age, years (s.d.)   | 42.64 (10.96)            | 42.35 (11.09)            | 42.47 (11.29)           |
| Marital status, n        | Maried 58                | 49                       | 57                      |
|                         | Divorced 8               | 14                       | 10                      |
|                         | Widowed 4                | 0                        | 0                       |
|                         | Unmarried 54             | 62                       | 59                      |
| Race, n                 | Dutch 114                | 119                      | 116                     |
|                         | Other 11                 | 6                        | 10                      |
| Education, n            | High 112                 | 101                      | 112                     |
|                         | Middle 12                | 20                       | 13                      |
|                         | Low 1                    | 4                        | 1                       |
| Daily activities, n      | Paid job 91              | 94                       | 100                     |
|                         | No job 33                | 31                       | 26                      |

ACT-E, Acceptance and commitment therapy with extensive email support;
ACT-M, acceptance and commitment therapy with minimal email support;
W-L, waiting list control; s.d., standard deviation.
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The self-help book by regular mail after the post-

sponded both to the question and to the experiences of

participants were instructed to complete one module

per week. The modules are based on six core processes

of ACT that together promote psychological flexi-

bility: acceptance (active and aware embracesment

of aversive internal experiences), cognitive defusion

(creating a context in which undesirable functions

of thoughts disappear), contact with the present

moment, self as context (experiencing that one is more

than one’s thoughts, feelings and experiences), choosing

values in different life domains, and commitment

to choices on the basis of these values (Hayes et al.

2006).

In the first part of the book, participants reflect on

their avoidance and control strategies and whether

these are effective in the long run. In the second part,

participants learn how to come into contact with their

present experiences without trying to avoid or control

them. Cognitive defusion and experiencing self as

context are practised. In the third part, the focus is

on becoming aware of the most important personal

values and making decisions based on these values.

Each module uses experiential exercises and meta-

phors for illustrating the processes of ACT. Further-

more, the participants were asked to do daily

mindfulness exercises, based on mindfulness-based

stress reduction (Kabat-Zinn, 1990, 1994). The mind-

fulness exercises lasted on average 10–15 min and

were on an audio compact disc which was provided

with the book.

Both experimental conditions received the same

frequency of email support. The participants received

a standardized email from the counsellors every week

on the same day concerning the module that they had

carried out in the previous week. Participants were

expected to respond within 2 days, after which they

received a feedback email from the counsellor. In the

minimal email support the standardized email com-

prised questions on their progress (e.g. did you per-

form all the exercises?), which was met with positive

and encouraging feedback from the counsellor. In the

extensive email support the standardized email also

comprised questions on what they had discovered or

experienced in the previous week (e.g. what did you

experience when you performed the exercises?). Fur-

thermore, participants were allowed to ask a

question on the text or exercises. The counsellor re-

sponded both to the question and to the experiences of

the participants and offered advice and instruction

with regard to the text and exercises.

Participants assigned to the W-L group received

the self-help book by regular mail after the post-

intervention assessment. Email counselling was not

provided.

The statistical analyses were done using PASW 18
(Predictive Analytics Software; IBM, USA). One-way
analysis of variance (ANOVA) and \( \chi^2 \) tests showed

that there were no significant differences at baseline

between the experimental conditions and the W-L
group for any of the demographic variables or out-

come measures, indicating successful randomization.

Intention-to-treat analyses were conducted with

the use of PASW Missing Value Analysis (SPSS Inc.,

USA) to impute all missing data on the continuous

measures with the expectation-maximization method.

This method computes missing values based on

maximum likelihood estimates using observed data in

an iterative process (Dempster et al. 1977). The total

percentage of missing data was 7.9% due to un-

answered items (0.7%) or incomplete assessments

(7.2%). These missing values at baseline, post-

intervention and follow-up were imputed. A compari-

son of results based on the imputed intention-to-treat

sample versus the observed data revealed similar out-

comes. Therefore, only the results from the intention-
to-treat analyses are reported. Comparisons were
two-tailed and interpreted with a significance of

\( p < 0.050 \).

To examine differences between the conditions

on all the outcome measures, a 3 (group) \( \times \) 2 (time)

ANOVA was used. In the case of significant time \( \times 

\times \)

group interactions, the post hoc test Tukey’s honestly

significant difference (HSD) test was used. To examine
the change from post-intervention to follow-up in
the experimental conditions, paired \( t \) tests and a 2
(group) \( \times \) 2 (time) ANOVA were used. To identify
whether gender, educational level (high \( v. \) low), the
level of depression, anxiety and EA at baseline mod-

erated the effect of the intervention on the change of
depressive symptomatology from baseline to post-

intervention, regression analyses were used. The me-

dians on the scores on depression, anxiety and EA at

baseline were used as cut-off scores for recoding
them as dichotomous variables. In a linear regression
model the intervention dummy variable (experimental

conditions = 1 \( v. \) W-L = 0), interaction term with the

potential moderator as a dichotomous variable and

their main effects were entered.

Effect sizes at post-intervention were calculated

with Cohen’s \( d \) using the means and the pooled stan-

dard deviations of the measurements of the conditions

(effect size of 0.56–1.2 was considered large, 0.33–0.55
as moderate, and less than 0.33 as small) (Lipsey et al.
1993).

With the Jacobson and Truax methodology, the

proportion of participants was determined who made

a clinically significant change on the CES-D from
baseline to post-treatment (Jacobson & Traux, 1991). First, the reliable change was calculated with the reliable change index (a reduction of ≥12 points). Second, because we studied a population with mild to moderate depressive symptomatology and people with mild to moderate depressive disorders, the scores below one standard deviation of the mean pre-test scores on the CES-D were considered the recovery criterion (a score ≤16). The score of 16 also has been found in previous research as the cut-off score to indicate the presence of clinically relevant depressive symptoms (Beekman et al. 1997; Smit et al. 2006). A clinically significant change on the CES-D is thus defined as having a reliable change (a reduction of at least 12 points) between the measurements and thereby crossing the cut-off of 16. Participants that had a clinically relevant change were either coded 1 (implying favourable treatment response, ‘success’) or 0 (‘failure’). The binary outcome was used to calculate the odds ratio (OR) using logistic regression. Based on the clinically significant change proportions, the number needed to treat (NNT) was calculated (Cook & Sackett, 1995).

Results

Drop-out

In Fig. 1 the flow of participants is given. At post-intervention, data were available for 340 participants (drop-out rate 9.6%) and at follow-up for 204 participants (drop-out rate 18.4%). There were no significant differences at baseline on all the measures between participants who completed the assessments and those who did not.

Intervention adherence and participants’ satisfaction

There were 22 participants (17.6%) in the ACT-M group and 28 (22.4%) in the ACT-E group that did not

---

Fig. 1. Participant flow. ACT, Acceptance and commitment therapy; T0, baseline; T1, post-intervention at 9 weeks (directly after the intervention); T2, 3-month follow-up (5 months after baseline).
fully adhere to the intervention. The main reasons given for non-completion were that the intervention was too time-consuming or too demanding. There were no significant differences between participants that adhered to the ACT-M and ACT-E intervention in the average number of weeks completed, number of emails sent, time spent weekly (in hours) on the self-help programme or the participant’s satisfaction. They completed on average 7.1 weeks ($n = 188$, range 4–8 weeks) and sent on average 7.4 emails ($n = 200$, range 1–9 emails). The participants spent on average 4 h per week on the self-help programme. On the CSQ-8 participants evaluated the intervention with 3.2 (scale from 1 to 4) ($s.d. = 0.44$, $n = 188$). On a scale from 1 to 10 the intervention was evaluated with a 7.7 ($s.d. = 1.01$, $n = 194$).

**Outcome of the interventions**

Means and standard deviations for all the outcome measures as well as the results from the repeated-measures ANOVA are presented in Table 2. For all the outcome measures significant interactions were found [$F(2, 373) = 18.96–38.92$, all $p < 0.001$]. Post-hoc testing with the Tukey HSD test revealed that both the ACT-M and ACT-E participants were significantly improved from baseline to post-intervention compared with the W-L condition. The two intervention conditions did not significantly differ on improvement from baseline to post-intervention on any of the outcome measures. In Table 3 the results of the effect sizes between the conditions at post-intervention are presented. On all the outcome measures, moderate to high effect sizes were found for the ACT-M and ACT-E groups compared with the W-L condition.

**Change from post-intervention to follow-up**

Both experimental groups showed maintenance of the effects on the primary and secondary measures from post-intervention to follow-up. Significant reductions in EA were found from post-intervention to follow-up in the ACT-E group [$t(124) = −2.64$, $p = 0.009$] and in the ACT-M group [$t(124) = −4.14$, $p < 0.000$]. With regard to mindfulness, improvements were observed for the FFMQ-observe in the ACT-E group [$t(124) = −2.16$, $p = 0.033$] and deteriorations in the FFMQ-describe in the ACT-M group [$t(124) = 2.27$, $p = 0.025$]. Overall these findings suggest that on all measures the effects were maintained or improved at the 3-month follow-up, except for the mindfulness facet ‘describe’. Repeated-measures ANOVA revealed no significant differences between ACT-E and ACT-M on the change in outcome measures from post-intervention to follow-up.

**Moderator analyses**

A significant interaction was found for the condition by the baseline level of depressive symptoms ($< 23 = 0$ and $≥ 23 = 1$) on the primary outcome ($β = −0.175$, $p = 0.007$). Participants with a higher level of depressive symptoms at baseline showed greater reduction in depression after completion of the intervention compared with the W-L group. Between-group effect size at post-intervention was higher for people with higher levels of depression at baseline (Cohen’s $d_{CES-D < 23} = 0.71$, Cohen’s $d_{CES-D ≥ 23} = 1.08$). No significant interactions were found for the condition by gender, level of education (high or low), the level of anxiety symptoms at baseline ($< 10 = 0$ and $≥ 10 = 1$) and the level of EA at baseline ($< 40 = 0$ and $≥ 40 = 1$).

**Clinically relevant change**

The proportion of participants that reached a clinically significant change on the CES-D in the ACT-E group was $42/125 (34\%)$ versus $7/126 (6\%)$ in the W-L group [$OR 8.60$, $95\%$ confidence interval (CI) $3.69–20.08$, $p < 0.001$, NNT $= 3.57$]. In the ACT-M group, $49/125 (39\%)$ reached a clinically significant change, which also resulted in a significant difference compared with the W-L group (OR $10.96$, $95\%$ CI $4.72–25.46$, $p < 0.001$, NNT $= 2.98$). There were no significant differences between the experimental conditions on the proportions of clinically relevant change.

**Medication use**

Of the participants, 10 in the intervention groups (ACT-E = 4, ACT-M = 6) and three in the control group reported at baseline to have started more than 3 months previously with a psychopharmacological treatment (and were thus not excluded from the study). During the intervention seven participants started with medication (ACT-E = 2, ACT-M = 2, W-L = 3) and seven participants stopped (ACT-E = 1, ACT-M = 5, W-L = 1). Due to these small numbers and the equal distribution over the conditions, it is unlikely that the present findings can be explained by changes in medication use preceding or during the trial.

**Discussion**

**Effects on psychological distress and positive mental health**

Participants who received the guided ACT self-help intervention had significantly more reduction in depressive symptoms directly after the intervention compared with participants in the W-L group, and this reduction was sustained at the 3-month follow-up.
The large effect sizes (Cohen’s $d = 0.74–0.89$) found in this study are largely consistent with meta-analyses with guided self-help treatment for depression (Gregory et al. 2004; Gellathy et al. 2007). As such, the results confirm earlier studies that showed that interventions for people with subthreshold depression are...
effective in reducing depressive symptomatology (Cuijpers et al. 2007). Our study further showed that the intervention resulted in a particularly large effect size among participants with relatively high baseline levels of depressive symptomatology (Cohen’s $d = 1.08$). As the presence of clinically relevant depressive symptoms is known to be an important risk factor for clinical depression (Cuijpers & Smit, 2004), this outcome suggests that guided ACT self-help early intervention decreases the risk of developing a (future) full clinical depression. Moreover, the ACT intervention resulted in significant reductions in anxiety and fatigue after the intervention and at the 3-month follow-up. This finding corroborates earlier studies that showed that ACT is effective in reducing anxiety and fatigue (Forman et al. 2007; Lappalainen et al. 2007; Bohlmeijer et al. 2011a).

The ACT intervention also significantly improved positive mental health, confirming previous research showing that ACT interventions promote emotional well-being (e.g. life satisfaction or quality of life) in patients with anxiety or depression (e.g. Forman et al. 2007; Lappalainen et al. 2007). Also psychological and social well-being were improved, suggesting that an intervention that is aimed at increasing acceptance and value-based actions is supportive for a positive, engaged and meaningful life.

**Effects on EA and mindfulness**

Large effect sizes were found on EA at post-intervention and at follow-up further reductions were found in the experimental conditions. This finding corroborates previous studies that showed that EA can be substantially reduced by ACT. It indicates that the participants are more inclined to accept their undesirable feelings, thoughts or body sensations without trying to avoid the form or frequency (Hayes et al. 2006). This is also confirmed by the large effect sizes that were found on the FFMQ subscales ‘non-judging’ and ‘non-reactivity’. This means that the participants have a more non-evaluative stance toward thoughts and feelings and allow them to come and go (Baer et al. 2006). On the other subscales of the FFMQ also large effects sizes were found in the experimental conditions after the intervention. Future research could examine the possibly mediating role of EA or mindfulness.

**Level of support**

No significant differences in the level of email support provided by counsellors were detected. This appears to be in line with earlier studies that have found that minimal contact with a counsellor is enough for a self-help programme to be as effective as face-to-face therapy (Cuijpers et al. 2010). Although our study was not powered to detect smaller effect size differences between two effective interventions (Cohen’s $d < 0.40$) and thus more subtle differences could have been missed, the similarities in the effects were highly consistent. In both the minimal and extensive email support, moderate to large effect sizes were found on all outcome measures and the participants in both conditions were highly satisfied with the intervention. This implies that for this intervention minimal email support suffices and more extensive email counselling does not further improve outcomes. It should be noted that the intensity of email contact differed, not the frequency, as this was held constant in both conditions. Further research could examine whether even less frequent contact could be effective, such as merely providing a clear scheduled deadline for finishing the programme (e.g. Nordin et al. 2010) or as an online intervention with automatic feedback.

**Limitations**

This study has several limitations. The use of a W-L control group is suboptimal, because of a lack of

### Table 3. Effect sizes (Cohen’s d) between conditions at post-intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ACT-E v. W-L</th>
<th>ACT-M v. W-L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary outcome</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CES-D</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS-A</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIS</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHC-SF-EM</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHC-SF-SOC</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHC-SF-PSY</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process measures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFMQ – observing</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFMQ – describing</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFMQ – acting with awareness</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFMQ – non-judging</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFMQ – non-reactivity</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAQ-II</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACT-E, Acceptance and commitment therapy with extensive email support; W-L, waiting list control; ACT-M, acceptance and commitment therapy with minimal email support; CES-D, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; HADS-A, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale – anxiety subscale; CIS, Checklist Individual Strength; MHC-SF, Mental Health Continuum – short form; EM, emotional; SOC, social; PSY, psychological; FFMQ, Five Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire; AAQ-II, Acceptance and Action Questionnaire-II.
control for non-specific factors. Also, it would have strengthened the design if a longer follow-up period and a follow-up assessment for the control group had been included. With the present study it cannot be demonstrated that the effects at the 3-month follow-up were attributable to the ACT intervention and not, for example, to spontaneous remission.

Our participants were mainly highly educated females, so generalization of the results has to be made with prudence. However, reaching this group is not uncommon for self-help (e-health) interventions (e.g. Carlbring et al. 2007). Furthermore, moderator analyses showed that there were no significant intervention effects for gender or education, indicating that the intervention is potentially broadly applicable.

Another limitation is that only partial and limited use was made of a diagnostic instrument. The MINI was used for diagnosing severe depressive episodes with people who scored positively on the WSQ but who did not meet the exclusion criterion of a severe depressive disorder. Hence the sample in this study consisted of both people with clinically relevant depressive symptomatology and people with mild to moderate depressive disorders. However, this limitation can also be seen as a strength. Apparently, the inclusion of a heterogeneous population with regard to their range of depressive symptomatology did not negatively affect treatment effectiveness. This underscores the generalizability of the findings.

Implications and future directions

This study is the first to show that ACT is effective as an early intervention offered as a guided self-help programme to participants with mild to moderate depressive symptomatology. Offering an early intervention in a positive frame and as a self-help programme might be less stigmatizing for participants than the traditional mental health services. Our study has shown that the ACT intervention not only affected depressive symptomatology but also symptoms of anxiety and fatigue. Apparently, by targeting a general risk factor (EA), a broad spectrum of psychological distress can be reduced. This implies that a generic preventive intervention could extend to other mental disorders as well.

In our study positive mental health was a secondary outcome. There is a growing body of knowledge that underscores positive mental health as a major public health goal. Recent studies have shown that having positive mental health protects against the risk of mental illnesses (Keyes et al. 2010; Wood & Joseph, 2010). Furthermore, Fava et al. (2001) found that even when clinical symptoms are absent, low positive mental health can be seen as a risk factor for future relapse among recovering patients. There is also growing evidence of interventions aiming at enhancing positive mental health both in clinical practice (e.g. Fava et al. 2005) and in public mental health (e.g. Fledderus et al. 2010). In future studies positive mental health could therefore be considered as a primary outcome of interventions aiming at people with low positive mental health.

Future research could examine whether a guided self-help ACT intervention is effective in reducing the onset of a major depressive disorder. Though a drop-out rate of 18% is acceptable and similar to other self-help studies (e.g. Seekles et al. 2011), it is an important issue to prevent drop-out from self-help interventions. Future studies could examine how lower drop-out rates can be achieved, for example, to tailor a self-help treatment according to the patient profile (e.g. Andersson et al. 2011). Furthermore, it could be examined whether the intervention could be tailored to people with a low social economic status, as this status is clearly associated with depression (Lorant et al. 2007). One important modification could be the use of comics to illustrate the main concepts and metaphors of the intervention.
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