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Introduction

Discussions about the concept of spirituality have increased dramatically in recent decades, and a Google search will yield millions of sites featuring a vast array of conceptualizations. A classic debate between two major alternative interpretations emanates from most of the related literature, namely that belief in religion may or may not be eliminated from the discussion. Traditionally, spirituality implied the existence of what might be called a transcendent or extraterrestrial source of power that was available to earnest seekers. Although spirituality is often associated with religion, many scholars claim that personal spirituality can be developed outside of religion—principally from within oneself, and without postulating the existence of a Higher Power or any kind of mystic transcendence. In the final analysis the phenomenon of spirituality cannot be ignored or put aside as a non-academic issue, important only to devotees. It is a vital component of very human culture.

Defining Spirituality

To begin with, etymologically “spiritual” refers to anything that relates to spirit or soul and not to physical nature and matter. Most dictionaries of philosophy provide two basic definitions - one close to the Greek word pneuma as an internal force, and the other related to the mind or to the “rational soul”, as a manifestation of the intellectual capacity to apprehend reality in the manner that Kant conceived it in his theory of aesthetics. Hegel, in his book, The phenomenology of spirit, suggests that the word has virtually nothing to do transcendence in the sense of acknowledging power from above.
Nevertheless, the word spirituality also has a different connotation. As the Ohio State University Student Wellness Center defines it: spirituality refers to the existence of “a higher power, whether rooted in a religion, nature, or some kind of unknown essence” (OSU.EDU). Similarly, the University of Maryland’s Medical Centre has posted this statement:

Spirituality may mean a belief in a power operating in the universe that is greater than oneself, a sense of interconnectedness with all living creatures, and an awareness of the purpose and meaning of life and the development of personal, absolute values. It's the way you find meaning, hope, comfort, and inner peace in your life (UofMMC).

A traditionally grounded definition of spirituality leaves references to religion intact, but some scholars prefer discussions about spirituality in terms of two dimensions of purely human experience. These individuals argue that the terms religion and spirituality should not be used interchangeably, because spirituality must be viewed strictly as a humanistic phenomenon. This perspective fuels the dichotomy between faith and reason, mainly valorizing the material view. Traditionalists and pro-religion groups disagree with this view; they perceive supernaturalism as an available resource from another, higher realm—beyond that of the human world.

To illustrate the complexity of defining spirituality, and from a religious perspective, it is important to note that philosophers writing at the beginning of the modern period wrestled hard with Saint Paul’s postulations about the existence of a two-tiered universe. This, for example, was Saint Paul’s perception of the universe in relation to the hereafter: "Now we see but a poor reflection as in a mirror; then we shall see face to face. Now I know in part; then I shall know fully, even as I am fully known" (I Corinthians 13:12, NIV). This statement clearly underscores the notion of postulating two layers of knowing. These two layers has been well explored by Augustine of Hippo (354-430), who in his book, The City of God tried to delineate the city as something created out of material reality. In human perspective, however, the two layers – soul and body – had to be in harmony in order to assist individuals in accessing the realm of goodness.
René Descartes (1596-1650), a French thinker who has often been called the “Father of Modern philosophy,” employed an ontological argument when he postulated that a spiritual realm exists beyond the world of humankind. He began by accepting the reality of self, then speculated that people are not perfect but can perceive the possibility of perfection; therefore such a realm must exist (Butler, 1968, p. 225). A German mathematician, Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz (1646-1716) was more specific and speculated that the universe is made up of three-tiered “monads,” almost analogous to the notion of molecules. Leibniz described the first order of simple monads as having quite general perceptions and desires. The second order of more complex monads possesses “soul,” and has greater awareness and sharper perceptions. Animals would fit into this order of monads. The one characteristic that differentiates humankind from animals is the ability to reason. Human bodies exist as simple monads, and the human spirit, along with the ability to reason, comprises the second order of monads. The fact that humans also possess spirit, however, provides the potential to achieve a relationship with God, the Creator of all things,” who is singularly a monad of the third type, unique, and without limitations (Butler, 1966, pp. 10-17).

In similar fashion, Portuguese-Dutch philosopher, Baruch Spinoza (1632-1677) developed a concept known as the Doctrine of Substance by which he explained the existence of what Immanuel Kant (1724-1804) later called the noumenal world or, ultimate world of ideas. Spinoza speculated that the “Substance” he was describing could be called God in an ultimate sense, and God should be perceived as having two attributes, extension and thought (Butler, 1966, p. 11). Humankind, although a more stretched out version of Substance, was created with a limited quality of thinking. Elaborated further this would imply that human nature is partially made up of Divine Substance. As the Book of Genesis (1:26, NIV) records:

Then God said, “Let us make man in our image, in our likeness, and let them rule over the fish of the sea and the birds of the air, over the livestock, over all the earth, and over all the creatures that move along the ground.”

George Berkeley (1685-1753), who later became an Irish Bishop, formulated this famous line, “to be is to be perceived,” implying that the existence of humankind could only be a reality because human activity was being perceived by the Ultimate Power,
namely God (Stump, 1993, p. 274). God, who is the Creator of truth, is “out there,” and His existence and attributes make it possible for humans to perceive sensations, notions, ideas, or impressions of the senses. Thus the character of knowledge is based on the necessary stratum of the objective world; it is Spirit, Infinite Mind, or God (Butler, 1966, p. 21). This perception of the spiritual realm comprises the most important rule for human actions. As French philosopher, Jean-Jacques Rousseau (1712-1778) pointed out in his Profession of Faith of the Savoyard Vicar included in his book, Emile or on Education, saying: “a will moves the universe and animates nature” (Rousseau, 1979, p. 273). By listening to the inner sentiment, given by Providence, individuals can attain a Divine conscience and resist giving in to bodily passions:

In listening to what it says to our senses, we despise what it says to our hearts; the active being obeys, the passive being commands. Conscience is the voice of the soul; the passions are the voice of the body (Rousseau, 1979, p. 286).

When Rousseau (1979, p, 288) affirms: “Let us obey nature,” he does not mean to follow external instructions, but to delve into the one’s own soul in pursuit of the natural sentiments imprinted there by Providence. The result, therefore, is not an institutional spirituality provided by an exogenous force. On the contrary, it comprises an indigenous force from within. This form of spirituality, based on innate sentiments, prepares human hearts to understand the language of nature.

A well publicized German philosopher, Immanuel Kant (1724-1804), became known as the Father of Transcendentalism because he too believed in the existence of a dualistic universe — the virtually unknowable noumenal world, and the phenomenological world or, world of human dwelling. According to Kant, ideas, or transcendent imperative forms, which are the ground of reality as we perceive it, exist in the mind prior to experience. Parallel to Descarte’s thinking, humankind is perceived as living in an imperfect world, but it can be improved by forming a relationship with the universe’s higher spiritual and moral principles (Gutek, 2014, p. 29). Holding this point of view, Descartes, Rousseau and Kant stressed the relation with Divinity as a way to improve moral actions. Closer to scholasticism, however, that relationship requires tools that need to be improved. In Descarte’s position this tool is reason – ratio; in Kant it is
action (a combination of pure reason and practical reason), and in Rousseau the fundamental lever must be the will.

Johann Gottlieb Fichte, (1762-1814), a disciple of Kant’s followed up on his mentor’s speculations by suggesting that only a true philosopher or “scholar” could decipher reliable meanings from the noumenal world.

This brings up a discussion about the role of organized religion today. Can religion, organized religion, that is, be of value to individuals who embark on a spiritual search? If one allows that people basically have a need to believe in something outside of themselves, perhaps answers to questions of a metaphysical nature from prepackaged sources—such as religious organizations, are not entirely without value. Prepackaged answers to queries about eschatological concerns or the meaning of life may satisfy the whimsical seeker, and this may not necessarily be a negative phenomenon. Some individuals prefer to have someone else decide such matters for them. They often place their faith in individuals who establish formats of belief intended to provide guidance in spiritual matters. The discovery of individual DND has scientifically verified biological individuality; now, all we have to do is decide how this works in the spiritual domain (Friesen, 1995, pp. 36-37).

Following a more traditional interpretation of spirituality, this paper will address and analyze two religious expressions of spirituality—that of contemporary Mennonites as representatives of traditional Anabaptism, contrasted with a brief case study of Spirit Wrestlers (Doukhobors) in Canada. Adherents to the former persuasion tend to value community interpretation and approval of expressed spiritual experiences, while the Doukhobors foster a strong belief in individuality when it comes to spiritual experience and practice. Both groups allege to be adherents to a form of Christianity.

**Background Check: the Mennonites**

It is interesting to note that Mennonites and Doukhobors were once neighbors in southern Russia, although their backgrounds are quite different. Mennonites, who originated in Europe, claim a direct lineage to Anabaptism, originally a reactionary belief system made up of many different subcultures including Amish, Hutterites, and various kinds of Mennonites. Being true to the diversity that identified the nature of historic
Anabaptism, the movement continues to distinguish itself by occasionally giving birth to more subgroups. This section will deal specifically with Mennonites only and by way of illustration make reference to three subsections of that community—Mennonite Brethren, Mennonite Church Canada, and Old Colony Mennonites. It is estimated that there are more than thirty different kinds of Mennonite religious communities in southern Manitoba alone.

The Anabaptist movement began in Europe as a radical offshoot of Protestantism, and Mennonites constitute one such division. Primary Anabaptist beliefs include viewing the Bible as an open book (but individual interpretations are subject to approval by the community of believers), separation of church and state, pacifism, and rejection of Sacraments, taking oaths, and infant baptism (Harder, 1949, pp. 21-22). So do the Mennonites.

Persecuted for their beliefs, most European Mennonites fled to Russia during the 1770s at the invitation of Catherine the Great (1729-1796). A century later they migrated to Canada and the United States because the Marxist Russian Social Democratic Labour Party (Bolsheviks) passed laws to restrict their interpretation of religious freedom. After settling on two reserves in southern Manitoba in the 1870s, the Mennonites spawned new divisions and gradually migrated to areas in most of Canada’s ten provinces.

The Mennonite church in Russia experienced a major split in 1860 when the Mennonite Brethren Church was formed. Convinced that the larger church was deviating from orthodox Anabaptist principles, the Mennonite Brethren Church essentially bought into Baptist theology and differentiated itself from the larger church by adopting baptism of adults only, and that solely by immersion. Although maintaining adherence to fairly rigid theological dogma, today one would be hard-pressed to differentiate the religious practices of the Mennonite Brethren from any other more evangelically oriented Christian body. Today there are 35,000 Mennonite Brethren in Canada who worship in 250 congregations.

A second group, now known as Mennonite Church Canada is actually a merger of two former Canadian denominations, the first being the “Old Mennonite Church,” whose origins date back to 1683 when Mennonites in Europe first began to migrate to
the United States to escape persecution. Many of their members moved to Canada in 1786 because they did not endorse the American quest for independence. The other denomination in the merger was known as the General Conference Mennonite Church, made up of Mennonites who left Russia for Canada in the 1870s. A merger of the two denominations was completed in 1999 and consists of 32,000 members who worship in more than 225 congregations. Somewhat soft on promoting strict doctrinal statements, this denomination stresses that the community of believers ought to be involved in social justice, efforts to alleviate poverty, and the peace movement.

The third selected subgroup of Mennonites is known as Old Colony Mennonites, and functions somewhat like the Amish or Hutterites in practice. These groups represent the most conservative branches of Anabaptism. Old Colony Mennonites specifically believe very much in tradition—often expressing their theological position using the phrase; “We wish to do as we were taught” (Old Colony Church). Tradition is the vehicle Old Colony Mennonites use to ensure that this separateness is maintained. Judging by their numbers and an annual growth rate of four percent, the Old Colony Mennonites will no doubt endure. They currently number about 150,000 and are located in various countries around the world, with 5,000 of them resident in five Canadian provinces.

On arriving in Manitoba in the 1870s, the utmost concern of the Old Colony Mennonites centered on the preservation of their way of life. From their point of view their total cultural pattern including language, clothing, education, furniture, self-government, mutual aid, village pattern, and all forms of customs were considered integral parts of their concept of Christianity. Church leaders tried to preserve the most extreme form of separation from the world and the practice of church discipline by means of the ban and avoidance of excommunicated individuals. Not only was contact with dominant society reduced to bare necessities, but the challenge that came through contact with other religious groups and mainstream culture was also neutralized. Maintaining any form of isolation has certainly proven to be a challenge for this group, as described in the novel, Strangled roots, by Isaac Quiring. To quote:

The biggest problem was in trying to put together the apparent religious and cultural fervor projected by the people of the community, and the double standard that operated particularly with regard to sexual things (Quiring, 1982, p. 2).
The Old Colony Mennonite interpretation of spirituality is that it can best be fulfilled through the strength of the community from whose dictates individuals should not depart. Expectations regarding individual spirituality are enclasped in Old Colony culture, and rarely discussed because of the strong sense of uncertainty that surrounds it. Individuals can never be assured that they have in any way attained Divine contact or favor, and it is best not to make claims about the experience. At most one might hear statements like this: “I trust I will be saved,” or, “My mother would always speak in terms of hoping be saved.” Plett (2001, p. 33) suggests that by comparison Evangelical churches tend to emphasize the past in terms of having attained salvation, for example, “I have been saved,” whereas more conservative churches, like Old Colony Mennonites, emphasize the future tense, namely, “I trust I will be saved.” Above all Old Colony adherents who do claim that they have experienced God’s favor, even if only in a hopeful sense, will need to have it corroborated by the community of faith. In a sense this belief correlates with the philosophic notion of correspondence theory; that is, the truth or falsity of a statement of claim must be validated by existing interpretations originated by the community of faith. Individuals cannot claim validity of a spiritual experience on their own.

Background Check: Spirit Wrestlers

In 1899, a large contingent of 7,500 Russian “Spirit Wrestlers” (now known as Doukhobors) immigrated to Canada in accordance with an invitation to do so by Clifford Sifton, Minister of the Interior. The Doukhobors settled as farmers on homestead lands allotted to them near what is now the Saskatchewan-Manitoba border. During this period of Canadian immigration it was quite unusual to have that many newcomers arrive at one time. Their large numbers immediately attracted attention from the media as well as from their neighbors. Farmers who lived near the newly arrived Doukhobors found it difficult to understand the communal lifestyle and belief system of these immigrants. The Doukhobors believed in communalism, vegetarianism, hereditary leadership, and complete separation of church and state, and rejected military involvement of any kind, organized forms of religion, and the office of clergy (Friesen, 1983, p. 73). They also preferred to use only the Psalms portion of the Bible, and perceived other biblical content as good literature. They argued that God actively continues to speak to His
children and should not be restricted to descriptions of His presence from past writings. Clearly their Christian practices took some getting used to by their neighbors.

As soon as the Doukhobors arrived on the prairies, they set about building 57 communal villages as well as several prayer homes and Russian language schools. Shortly thereafter the Canadian government reneged on the promise that the Doukhobors would be exempt from swearing allegiance to the Crown. On hearing the news the Doukhobors almost immediately separated into three factions: (i) the orthodox, who relocated to British Columbia to settle on land that other parties had previously purchased, thus avoiding the dreaded oath of allegiance; (ii) the Independents, who did take the oath, thereby allowing some of them to remain on portions of previously settled communal lands; and,(iii) the more radical Sons of Freedom who in 1902 staged a public protest that engaged one thousand souls. Later this group followed the orthodox group to British Columbia. All three factions continued to believe in Iskra, (from Russian: ‘искра’, spark) albeit to varying degrees.

The origin of the Doukhobors is itself an unusual story (Friesen and Verigin, 1996). During the 17th century in Russia, a religious leader, born with the name Nikita Minin and later known as Patriarch Nikon (1605-1681), functioned as Patriarch of the Russian Orthodox Church from 1652-1658. During this time he promoted the merger of the Russian Orthodox Church with the Greek Orthodox Church. To that end he initiated a series of Greek reforms that spurred some 200 subgroups to leave the church. One of those groups was the Doukhobors whom an archbishop named Ambrosius dubbed “Doukho-bortsi,” meaning Spirit Wrestlers. The archbishop claimed that the Doukhobors were fighting against the spirit of the church. The Doukhobors adopted the name, arguing that they wrestled in the spirit not against it. A subsequent interpretation of their new name produced the term Iskra, a term to denote the belief that every individual possesses a Divine spark, or a little bit of Divinity. This personal connection to God is expected to encourage quite individual interpretations of belief and behavior.

Economically speaking, the Doukhobors managed quite well after leaving the Russian Orthodox Church. Their most prominent leader in Russia was Luker’ia Evna Gubanova, who took over after her husband Peter passed on. Luker’ia was responsible for the Golden Age of the Doukhobors (1864-1884), and reigned over the commune for
two generations. Although she operated in conjunction with an advisory board, Luker’ia basically functioned like a benevolent dictator. She saw to it that no one was unemployed, and no one went hungry. Relapsing offenders were punished by public whippings, and a husband who was mean to his wife were locked up in a chicken coop overnight (Woodcock and Avakumović, 1977, p. 73). When it came time for Luker’ia to retire, she personally trained a young man named Peter Vasil’evich Verigin to take her place. It was Verigin who basically oversaw the Doukhobor migration to Canada in 1899.

**Expressions of Spirituality**

Over time, religious groups associated with the Anabaptist tradition have come to vary a great deal in theological beliefs and practice. More recently the patterned practices of several liberal representative denominations quite resemble those of main line Christian denominations, and a wide array of more conservative groups may be identified on the other end of the spectrum. Generally speaking, however, Anabaptist groups insist that Christians be guided by the Word of God (the Bible) that has been inspired and illuminated by the Spirit of God. Resultant interpretations must be checked out within community constraints, and this leaves theologically little room for individual or charismatic expression. Both the Mennonite Brethren and Mennonite Church Canada represent the liberal end of the spectrum, albeit distinguishable by minor differences. Mennonite Brethren emphasize what is fundamentally a Baptist-based theology, and they are proud of the fact that their membership have more than a working knowledge of the Scriptures (MB Church). Both denominations adhere to an ethnic base in interpreting spirituality.

Many Mennonite Brethren are involved in successful business enterprises and related professions, making them appear more upper middle class than their conservative counterparts. However, there often appears to be a contradiction between their alleged obedience to biblical principles for daily living and actual Christian practice. As one of their theologians, Delbert Wiens, points out, Mennonite Brethren are in need of transforming the inner experience of attractive “wineskins” or theological containers, to daily practice (Regehr, 1996, p. 300). Religious principles are expressed in social, cultural, and religious forms, but these are not always clearly differentiated nor effectively released. The end result is that spirituality is clothed in intellectually inclined
theological terms without manifesting relevant expression. Even then, all expressions are subject to approval by the community of faith (Hertzler, 1973, p. 24; Miller, 2000, p. 2).

Mennonite Church Canada does not readily differentiate between ethnicity and religion, assuming they are part and parcel of the same phenomenon. Less explicit in announcing adherence to specific, biblically-based theological beliefs, this denomination stresses the importance of a culture of worship—Mennonites coming together to worship in an atmosphere of appreciation for their unique history and identity (Hertzler, 1973, p. 18). Like Mennonite Brethren, they emphasize outreach and evangelism, and both denominations have been quite successful in off-shore missionary endeavors, but not in achieving an equally expanded base on the home front. Mennonite Church Canada is also more concerned with issues such as fair labor practices, social welfare, and issues of justice.

Writing from a Mennonite Church Canada perspective, Roth (2011, p. 98) warns about the danger of seeing Christian practice fall into the mode of many Christians who think of worship primarily as something that happens for two hours on Sunday morning in a church building, or in other conscious acts of prayer or piety. There must be an active involvement in the world, but not necessarily in conjunction with the world. Like Mennonite Brethren, members of this denomination are active in many politically linked professions including local government, law, and provincial and federal politics (Urry, 2006). Despite these linkages, religious practice is always in need of community-based approval. As the vision statement of Mennonite Church Canada emphasizes:

God calls us to be followers of Jesus Christ and, by the power of the Holy Spirit to grow as communities of grace, joy and peace so that God’s healing and hope flow through us to the world….We are a community of disciples of Jesus, A part of the Body of Christ, covenanted together as congregations (Mennonite Church Canada, italics mine).

Conservative Anabaptist congregations avoid virtually all contact with the outside world except for necessary business associations or, in some cases, tourism, the latter being a forte among Amish and Hutterites, but not Old Colony Mennonites. They prefer to keep to themselves in all avenues, including schooling if possible. The historical
record indicates that a myriad of migrations by Old Colony Mennonites have occurred, thereby allowing them to escape the enforcement of public education. Nevertheless, some of them get concerned about the matter, as the cited novel’s main character did when he had to go to town to get a job. With low education, he told to himself: “Frank Tilitsky, your future is nothing!” (id. p. 127). Their preferred form of religious expression is a somewhat tedious repetition of historically grounded hymns, prayers, and “sung” sermons. Spirituality *per se* is seldom a topic for discussion.

Our Russian immigrant friends, the “Spirit Wrestlers,” have an entirely different perception of spirituality. Doukhobors believe very much in the existence of a spirit world governed by a Higher Power, and it is expected that individuals will connect with that world through the auspices of the Divine spark (*Iskra*) within them. Doukhobors believe that spirituality is primarily individual lybased in comparison to the Anabaptist emphasis on the community of faith. This belief appears to controvert the Doukhobor penchant for communal living, but community Doukhobors are quick to differentiate physical and material elements of life from experiences in the spiritual realm. It is not immediately clear just how believer’s lives are enlightened or enriched through spiritual contact, but a variety of avenues appear to exist—particularly religious gatherings. Divine revelation, if this concept may be employed, can occur within or without a corporate setting.

When orthodox Doukhobors gather in their sanctuaries (now known as “prayer homes,”) for worship, prayers are said and psalms are sung. A sung psalm begins with an individual soloing the first line by himself/herself, regardless of age or station. It is quite acceptable to be aware that spiritual enrichment may flow to any individual within that gathering. It is also quite possible that a Divine message may be imparted to an individual when he or she is engaged in personal worship. Since approval by a community of faith is not necessary to initiate changes in behavior that might occur as a result of having been spiritually enlightened, it is possible that discrepancies might occur between what the community of faith and the individual in question might regard as appropriate.

In the end, individuality will prevail; Divine contact is an entirely personal matter.

**Conclusion**
Although once long time neighbors in Russia, Mennonites and Doukhobors maintain quite different perceptions of spirituality. Sectors of the two communities have remained in communication after migrating to Canada, and occasionally exchange formal acknowledgments. Apparently, differences of opinion on spiritual matters appear not to constitute sufficient grounds for ethnic exclusivity. Both Mennonite and Doukhobor interpretations of spirituality are premised on the belief in a Higher Power and have been retained while living in Canada. Mennonites, regardless of denominational splintering, cling to the notion of community approval as validation of spiritual claims, while Doukhobors continue to stress individuality in spiritual searching. Perhaps this might explain why Mennonites communities have managed to retain their numbers, while Doukhobor membership in Canada is steadily declining. Only the future will tell how these visions and membership numbers will play out.
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Though spirituality runs through much of it, British contemporary society is a context where making truth claims in words alone fails to communicate truth. There is no such thing as the contemporary British culture; rather, there are many overlapping cultures. By contrast, even Calvin, who did not deny the validity of the Great Commission, maintained that the propagation of the Christian faith was not under the jurisdiction of the Church, but was the duty of the Christian government. Littell also points to the evidence of the court records, particularly the series of questions prepared for interrogations, as evidence of the significance of the Anabaptists' sacrificial efforts in mission. This community is a place to share and discuss new scientific research. Read about the latest advances in astronomy, biology, medicine, physics. The fact is, spirituality is very personal. It depends on your goals (intellectual curiosity? Anxiety relief?) Some of the most fundamental Buddhist canon even predicts that the true spirit of Buddhism will eventually be twisted and forgotten, if it can even be said to have existed in an essential form to begin with. That said, I'd start with Where You Go, There You Are and The Way of Zen to dip your toes in. It's certainly enough to expand your mind to a new way of thinking, and that's often enough to help people overcome common mental and emotional burdens that they've been facing. Spirituality plays a very important role as it is a broad concept to understand. Mentioned here are few pros and cons of spirituality in the workplace. This certainly led to more spiritual traditions and followers using their spirituality in all aspects of their lives. 4. Millennium: The millennium drove the world to another level. Human beings have evolved a far way ahead from the start. This evolution further overturned by the millennium effect. On times like promotions, anniversaries, birthdays, etc. People try to understand themselves and trace their history and achievements so far.